

Development. (1940) Department.

ms. SERIES

G.O. No.

482.

Dated

1-3-1940


[Despatch Abstract.]

Fisheries - Establishment - Discharged
teachers - Miss.L.B. Ambler, G.R. Gangara and
N.B. Ambler - Re-entertainment - Orders passed.

5. *Komana*

FISHERIES DEPARTMENT.

Received



Registered.....19

Subject.

20197

Education -- Establishment -- Women teachers :
Discharge.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

No. 1713-C/39-2.

Spare copies

Station M A D R A S, Dated the 17th J U L Y 19 39.

From (Name) Diwan Bahadur Dr. B. Sundara Raj, M.A., Ph.D.
(Designation) Director of Fisheries.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras

Development Department.

Sir,

Ref:- G.O. No. Ms. 1294, Development Department
dated 17-5-1939.

b 24 3 (F)

1. Mr. Amkle

2. Gangar

In the concluding sentence of para 5 of the G.O. quoted above, the Government have ordered that the two women teachers who have passed only the ordinary Training School Leaving Certificate Examination should pass the practical test before appointment to the Madras Fisheries Subordinate Service. As these two teachers have yet to pass the practical test, and their continuance in Fisheries schools under emergency provision will be an evasion of the orders of Government, the Sub-Assistant Director of Fisheries (Coast), Calicut, ordered
their

their discharge and posted in their places candidates selected by the Assistant Director of Fisheries(Coast), Calicut, after due notification.

2. I enclose copies of two petitions dated 3-6-1939 and 26-6-1939 received from G.R. Gangera, one of the two women teachers referred to in the G.O. quoted above. The statement in the petition that she was selected for training "with a promise of appointment after training" is not correct, as it was clearly stated in the notification inviting applications for admission to the training that "no appointment is guaranteed", though as a rule all who were selected for training have been absorbed by the Department. Similarly in the bond executed by selected candidates, there is reported to be no undertaking that Government will provide them with posts after training.

3. The case of the women teachers who underwent training in the ordinary Training School at Mangalore as Fisheries stipendiaries and passed in the Training School Leaving Certificate Examination has been dealt with in paras 3 and 4 of my letter to Government No.2029-~~CC~~/38-2 dated 14-10-1938 disposed of in the above G.O.. Miss. N.B. Ambler a Kanarese woman teacher who was a Fisheries stipendiary and passed the ordinary Training School Leaving Certificate Examination in 1938 had already been appointed and she joined duty on 28-6-38 i.e., three weeks before the receipt of G.O. No.1134 Public Services dated 4-7-38 which prescribes the possession of a completed certificate for ordinary trained teachers. On the analogy of teachers trained at the Fisheries Training Institute Miss. N.B. Ambler was appointed in the regular course and not under the emergency provision. The Sub-Assistant Director of Fisheries(Coast), Calicut, says she has had no break of service since her first appointment on 28-6-38. In view of the orders of Government making the practical test obligatory on teachers who have passed only

the

h 6-10 m/p

h 24 3
201294 m
17-5-39 (F)

A m p 4
m/p

X

h 3-4 3
p a. (F)

(P)

A m p 2 3
(P)

g.C m p 4 3
(F)

vide provision
to old m/p
h (b) m p 159
and b (b) m p 160
g m s manual
m p 14

Port m 75 m
to Sanyis - 2.1/2

the ordinary Training School Leaving Certificate Examination for entry into service, Miss. N.B. Ambler was also ordered to be discharged. These women teachers were selected with the special purpose of being trained in the Fisheries Training Institute after undergoing two years' training at Mangalore. As the petitioner and other women teachers who were discharged were originally selected with a view to final training in the Fisheries Training Institute, Calicut, and had hopes of confirmation and permanent employment, their case deserves some consideration.

4. Not only have these women teachers put in service in Fisheries Schools prior to the date of the G.O. quoted above, they have to actually work in a school to pass the practical test. Discharge is a serious disability and an injustice to these teachers as some are over-aged now and are not likely to obtain employment anywhere. Also the money spent by the Department on their training will be wasted, if they are not employed in this Department. I request that the Government will be pleased to consider their cases favourably and grant them the necessary time for passing their practical test. They will be admitted to full membership in the service only after they pass the practical test. If Government approve of the above these three teachers will be appointed to vacancies after the teachers already selected have been absorbed.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Ch. R. Natesa Prasad

for Director of Fisheries.

SS/17-7.

18/7

4

Copy of petition dated 3rd June 1939 from Miss G.R. Gangera, Teacher, Government Fisheries at Kirimanjeshwar, now discharged, Bolur, Mangalore. to the Director of Fisheries, Madras:

----- (F) -----

Your official order Ref: G.O. No. Mis. 1294- Dev. dated 17-5-1939 - education- establishment teachers - transfer and posting.

I beg to approach you to represent the following:-

I am astonished to see your order discharging me from service in the above school, under the rule mentioned in the order served to me, since I was one of the stipendiary students of the Fisheries.

A | I am one of the six candidates selected by the Fisheries for training in July 1936, with a promise of appointment after training.

Duly according to the promise I was given an appointment of a teacher in the Fisheries School in September 1938, after I passed the Training School leaving examination and have been allowed to continue in the service till the 31st May 1939.

The practical test that I have to pass is to take place in the course of our service in the school after a period of 18 months.

Management that has sent me for training is entitled to employ me in ~~the~~ its school till I pass my practical test. Where else can I go seeking an employment to pass my practical test? Who can appoint me in their schools to pass my practical test?

This is indeed an injustice done to the poor girls who fully trusted in the promise of the Fisheries before they were entertained for training in the Training School.

904- (F) The Government Order now passed, under which we have been discharged, cannot be made applicable to us since we are the candidates selected by the department with a promise of appointment after training.

~~-2-~~ 5

I, therefore, humbly beg of you to kindly consider my case and re-appoint me in any school under your management.

Copy of petition dated 26th June 1939 from G.R. Gangera,
Holoor, Mangalore; to the Director of Fisheries, Madras.

Sub:- Education - Establishment - teacher - Transfers and
postings.

Ref:- 272-F/39 dated 26th May 1939 on the office Order
concerning G.O. No. Mis. 1294 Development dated 17-5-39. (F)

Copy as H 4-5
on file

vide A m
p. 243 (F)

I submitted a petition to your honour on the 3rd June 1939, representing the hardships I was subjected to consequent to my discharge from the teacher's post in the Kirimanjeshwar Government Fisheries Ele. School on the ground that "teachers who have passed only the ordinary Training School Leaving Certificate examination should pass the practical test before appointment to the Madras Fisheries Subordinate Service". I was selected for training as a Fisheries Stipendiary candidate in July 1936. It was then a course of three years two years in the training school, and then one year in the Fisheries Training School at Calicut after passing the Training School Leaving Certificate Examination. It was on this understanding that I entered the Training School at Mangalore and passed the Training School Leaving Certificate Examination of the Higher Elementary Grade in March 1938 as per my Certificate No. 19007/38.

Meanwhile, the Government abolished the Fisheries Training School at Calicut without in any way providing for the third year's fisheries training of their stipendiary candidates. I was not informed by the Fisheries Department as to what I should do after my passing the Training Examination for the completion of the third year's fisheries training. On the other hand, I was given to understand that, thereafter, there would be no such further course of training at Calicut or anywhere else.

As has been already stated, I passed the teachers' Training School Leaving Certificate Examination held in March 1938 and gave intimation of the same to the Fisheries Office at Calicut and awaited for an appointment till the end of May 1938 and saw the Inspector of Fisheries now and

and then in connection with my appointment. He informed me that I would be given a place in due course as vacancies occurred and that till then I may join service in any other school.

Accordingly I applied to the Basel Mission School authorities of South Kanara and got an appointment in the B.E.M. Girls' School at Karkal. There, I was serving as a teacher from 1-6-1938 up to 19-9-38 when I applied to the authorities concerned to relieve me in view of the fact that I got an appointment in the Fisheries School at Malpe, where I was a teacher till the end of October 1938 after which I was transferred to Fisheries School, Kirimanjeshwar. Here I served as an assistant teacher till I was relieved on the 31st of May 1939 consequent to the order referred to above.

A m h. 24 B
20 1294 B
17-5-39 (F)

This order has entailed very great hardship on me and will permanently cut away my career as teacher both in the Fisheries Schools and elsewhere. This requires an explanation. Kindly be pleased to let me explain this once again.

In the first place, it is necessary to view my hardships and grievances from three aspects of the question. First, I am a Government Fisheries Trained Teacher and would have completed my third year's course of training at the Fisheries School at Calicut, if that school was not abolished or if some other provision was made for that purpose. In that case, I would have completed that course also and the amended rule would not have been then applicable and applied to my case, inasmuch as it applies only to the teachers "who have passed only the ordinary Training School Leaving Certificate examination" and not to the teachers who have passed their third year's training at the Fisheries Training School at Calicut. In all fairness and justice, it was the duty of the Fisheries Department to retain the Fisheries Training School at Calicut till the completion of the training course of their stipendiaries or to have made some other provisions for its completion under the Department, or in the absence of both to have exempted the stipendiary candidates of the Fisheries Department from the

order at A
m h. 24 B
(F)

application of the rule now enforced. In the absence of any such provision, it is but bare justice to continue to give them appointments or to allow them to continue in the vacancies as they arise. If this is not permitted there will be neither justice nor fairness in the rule quoted above.

And in the second place, all the circumstances that has caused me my present hardships must be taken into your kind consideration. When I received the first order of my appointment in September 1938, I was in the Mission service, and had an assurance of continued service from the Basel Mission authorities, and would have certainly continued to be in service and would have completed my practical test in due course. This I could and would have done, if I had not asked them to relieve me from the teacher's post under the misnomer of my appointment in the Fisheries School at Malpe. In point of fact, and subsequent to my recent discharge I approached the Mission authorities once again to give me a post for some time at least but they have flatly refused to entertain me on two grounds: - (1) There are no vacancies at present; (2) That even if there are vacancies they are not prepared to give appointments to birds of passage like me who want to take advantage of their school either for temporary service or to complete their practical test.

In this connection, it behoves to place before your honour that as an Indian Protestant, the only chance I had of being entertained as a teacher was in the Basel Mission Schools from which I had to sever my connection on my appointment in the Fisheries School at Malpe. Subsequent to my discharge, I have been trying to get a post in one of the District Board Schools, in the Municipal Schools, both in Mangalore and Udipi, in the Labour Schools and also in any one of the aided schools. In all these efforts, I have failed. On enquiry, I find that the District Board has its own list of probationers who must be provided with posts before others are employed.

9

The present list of Registration in the District Board contains about 82 such probationers and pre-registered applicants for posts. Similarly is the case in the Municipal schools at Mangalore and Udipi. In the case of Labour Schools, no appointment is given to non-Adi-Dravidas. Leaving these alone, there now remain the aided schools. Here also there exists the same difficulty. Moreover, less every aided school in the South Kanara District, has its pre-certified trained teachers, and, in some cases more than required by them. Hence it is practically impossible to get admission to any one of these schools, and thus my chances of completing the course of service for passing the practical test are very remote indeed. I

In this connection, I beset and remembered, Honoured Sir, that being a woman it is practically impossible for me to enter into completion of service with men. Even if I were to try to do so there are so many obstacles and difficulties to be encountered which I shall not be able to endure. I therefore most humbly submit that your honour may be kindly pleased to exempt me from the operation of the rule above referred to and appoint me in one of the Fisheries Schools in the South Kanara District.

A-124
8201294 Sw
17-5 39 (F)

Here I may be kindly permitted to add that it was subsequent to my selection as a stipendiary candidate of the Fisheries Department and also subsequent to my appointment in the Fisheries schools that the G.O. No. 1294 Dev. was amended and it is unfair to apply it to the Fisheries Trained teachers who were in service before the rule was framed, especially in view of the fact that the Fisheries Training School at Calicut was abolished. Moreover, the spirit of the rule is meant to be applied in giving permanent appointments in the Madras Fisheries Subordinate Service, and not in the appointment of temporary post not exceeding one month in duration.

(F)

I therefore most humbly pray that taking all these facts into your honour's kind consideration, and that I am also a poor and Helpless woman teacher without any other means of livelihood, your honour will kindly be pleased to appoint me to fill up vacancies, to grant me exemption from the operation of the rule, if necessary, and thus enable me to pass my practical test in the Fisheries School and thereby eke out an honourable living.

For which act of kindness, I shall ever remain truly grateful to your honour.

27/7

27/7

11

Development Dept.

O.M.No.20197-VI/39 - 1 d/ 26.7.39

H1-34=

Ref:- Letter from the D.F.No.1713-C/39-
dated 17-7-39 - Education -
Establishment - Women teachers -
Discharge - Reinstatement.

2 g. Ambler

The D.F. is requested to furnish
a statement showing the names of all
the women teachers who have been
discharged for want of a completed
trained teacher's certificate, with
information as to

(1) their date of birth;

(2) the date on which they
passed the Training School Exami-
nation; and

(3) the period during which
they were actually employed in
the Fisheries Schools in the
regular course or under the
emergency provisions, as the
case may be.

L.R. sent
m419

h 4 z

(F)

2. In para 4 of his letter

No.2029-C/38-2 dated 14-10-38 disposed
of in G.O.No.1294 Dev., dated 19-5-39,
the Director reported that Miss Ambler
and Miss Gengara had not commenced

probation but that the former had been appointed under the emergency provision.

But in para 1 of his letter read above

it is now stated that as the continuance

of the two women teachers under the

emergency provisions would be an evasion

of the orders of Government, they have

been discharged, and again in para 3, of

the same letter Miss N.B. Ambler is

reported as having been appointed in the

regular course and not under the emergency

provisions. The D.F. is requested to

reconcile the above statements and to

forward for reference the originals of the

orders relating to the appointment of

Miss N.B. Ambler and other women teachers

referred to in para 1 above.

3. The D.F. is also requested to

report the name of the third woman teacher

referred to in the concluding sentence

of his letter read above and the facts

relating to her case.

Comp-43 (F)

h 1 u-

K m h 2 u-

h 1 u-


B' m h. 3 u-

To the Director of Fisheries.

26/7
26/7

26/7

27/7



DEPARTMENT.

Received 19

Registered 29179 19

Subject.

Education - Establishment - Women teachers - Discharge -
Reinstatement.

ENCLOSURES.

No.

Spare copies

Ref. No. 1713-C/39-5.

Station M a d r a s , Dated the 30th September 19 39.

From (Name) Diwan Bahadur Dr. B. Sundara Raj, M.A., Ph.D.,
(Designation) Director of Fisheries, ...

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Development Department.

Sir,

Ref: Government Memorandum No. 20197-VI/39-1,
dated 26-7-1939.

Only three women teachers have been discharged for
want of a completed trained teacher's certificate. Their
names and date of birth are:-

Name.	Date of birth.
1. L.B. Ambler.	22-7-1919. = 20
2. G.R. Gangera.	15-10-1917. = 22
3. N.B. Ambler.	19-5-1917. = 22

These were among the six Canarese women candidates selected
for training as Fisheries stipendiaries in 1936. All the

14
-2-

six women pupil teachers completed their course in the Government Training school for women, Mangalore, in March 1938. But only three passed in the T.S.L.C. Examination in 1938 and hence they alone were given acting appointments as vacancies arose. These three teachers were employed in Fisheries-schools as follows:-

(1) L.B.Ambler:

Ag.Assistant Teacher, Hejmadi School - 2-9-38 to 31-5-39.

9 months

She was appointed under emergency provision and temporary extension granted from time to time. She was discharged in May 1939.

(2) G.R.Gangera.

Ag.Assistant teacher, Malpe school. 21-9-38 to 31-10-38.
do. Kirimanjeshwar, 8-11-38 to 30-5-39.

m. days.
1 - 11
6 - 23
8 - 4

She was appointed under emergency provision and granted temporary extension.

(3) N.B.Ambler.

Ag.Assistant Teacher, Sri Gnanodaya school. 28-6-38 to 29-5-39 A.N.
Ag.Assistant Teacher, Malpe School. 30-5-39 to 25-6-39.

m. days
11 - 2
0 - 27
11 - 29

She was appointed in the regular course.

The orders of appointment, extension and discharge in respect of the above three teachers are herewith sent in original for reference and return.

15-30 with

2. The two women teachers referred to in para 1 of my letter No. 1713-C/39-2 dated 17-7-39 are Miss L.B.Ambler and Miss G.R.Gangera; and the woman teacher referred to in para 3 of my letter is Miss N.B.Ambler. In the Government memorandum dated 26-7-1939 quoted above, Miss N.B.Ambler and Miss L.B.Ambler appear to have been treated as one person. Since these two teachers are different persons, there is no need to reconcile the statements made in my letter.

15.2 with
12.4 2
12.4 2
17.5 39 (F)

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

B. S. S. S.
Director of Fisheries.

Dev. Dept.

Sub:- Fisheries - Non-fishery trained teachers -
Appointment in fisheries schools -
Extension of probationary period.

- H 1-3 4: (From the D.F.No.1713-c/39-2, dated 17.7.39)
- H 11-12 4: (O.M. to the D.F.No.20197-VI/39-1, dated 26.7.39)
- H 13 14 4: (From the D.F.No.1713-c/39-5, dated 30.9.39.)

To S.S.

Please see the D.F.'s letters at pp.1-3
c.i. and at pp.13-14 c.f. Under the existing
rules candidates for appointment as teachers in
fisheries schools should possess a completed
trained teachers' certificate. The following three
lady teachers who do not possess a completed
certificate have been discharged from service in
fisheries schools with reference to the orders
in para 5 of G.O.1294 Dev., dated 17.5.39.

	Date of birth.
1.N.B.Ambler	19.5.1917.
2.G.R.Gangara	15.10.17
3.L.B.Ambler	22.7.19.

The Director ~~proposes~~ that these three teachers
may be granted necessary time for passing their
practical test.

2. The Director's proposal is not clear.

The three teachers referred to passed the Training
School Examination in 1938 and under the Educational
Rules they are now Probationary trained teachers.
They should complete their certificates by putting
in at least 18 months approved service in any
recognised school within three years from 1.4.38
the year in which they passed the examination. They
have got therefore time yet till 1.4.41 for
completion of certificate and the question of
extending the three years' period does not arise

A m h 2 3
P 1134 04 (P)
4 7 38

B m h 13 4.

A m h 24 3 +
P 1294 04 (F)
17 5 39

C m h 13 4.

A

K m h 3 4.

M m h 14 4.

cf. Rule 142 m h 32
of Educational Rules
para 4

cf. Rule 145 h 32

M

now and such extensions are to be granted by the D.P.I. or the Divisional Officer of the Education Dept. concerned. The Director apparently wants that these teachers should be reentered in the Fisheries Subordinate Service so as to enable them to complete the certificate. The points advanced by the Director for consideration are:--

3. From 14.5.54 to 33
3 Educational rules put up
X

(1) that the discharge of these three teachers is a serious disability and injustice to them as some are overaged now and are not likely to obtain employment elsewhere;

M. m. p. 2.12

(2) that these three teachers were originally selected with a view to final training in the Fisheries Training Institute, Calicut (which has been closed) and had hopes of permanent employment;

C. m. p. 3.11

3) that they have previously worked in fisheries schools (No. 1 appointed in the regular course)

E. m. p. 3.11

(4) the money spent by the Dept. on their training in the Mangalore Institute will be wasted if they are not employed in fisheries schools.

H. m. p. 3.11

With regard to item (1) above, it will be seen from the dates of birth given on p. 1 ante that these three teachers are below 23 and as the age-limit fixed for appointment as teachers in the Education Department as well as in the Fisheries Dept. is 30 they are not now overaged and they can therefore seek employment elsewhere. As regards item (2) above, the Director states that specific mention was made in the notification inviting applications for admission to the training that the appointment was not guaranteed. Having regard to the other two points, it is for consideration whether the qualification rule prescribed in the special rules for the Madras Fisheries Subordinate Service requiring a completed certificate will be relaxed in favour of these three teachers

V. m. p. 10.6.54
M. S. M. m. p. 10.6.54
Bork 41.5.54 - CS. Room
V. m. p. 10.6.54
B. (D)

1. 14.5.54 p. 2.12

g. m. p. 2.3
D)

~~-2-~~

so as to enable their appointment in fisheries schools.

The remarks of Public (Ser.) may be invited.

B. 20/10. 20.10.39 (1) If they have still time to complete the certificate, why were they discharged?

(2) What is meant by the phrase "before appointment to the Indian J. S. S." occurring in the last sentence of para 5 of Po. no

(7) 1294 of 17.5.39? Does it mean before confirmation or placing on probation?

RL
21.10.39

To A.S.

Submitted

Query (1) above :- The three teachers mentioned at 'A' in p. 1 above have been discharged as they do not hold Completed Liand teachers'

Vide 'A' in p. 2 of Certificate as referred under Annexure I
Sp. 1134 Out (P) to the Special Rules for the Madras Fisheries
4 7 38 Subordinate Service and also with

pp. 23 24 reference to para 5 of Po. no 1294 of
 3 (F) of 17.5.39.

Query (2) above :- Under the definition

(1) in the General Rules for Subordinate


~~16~~

Vide p. 593
 Services rendered
 vide p. 593
 Book 41 in C.S's
 Room-

Services appointed to a service
 will mean the commencement
 of probation.
~~in accordance with the existing rules.~~

The case may be sent to
 Pub (in) for remarks.

17/12
 18/12
 7/12
 8



These three teachers were discharged by the
 D.F. as they do not possess a completed trained
 teachers' certificate prescribed in G.O.No.1134
 Public (Ser.), dated 4.7.1938. In the ordinary
 course however they would have been given time
 till 1.4.1941 for completion of the certificate.
 As without being entertained in the schools it is
 difficult for these teachers to complete the
 certificate, the Director recommends their re-
 entertainment. For this purpose the qualification
 rule prescribed in the special rules for the
 Madras Fisheries Subordinate Service should be
 relaxed so as to permit their re-employment in
 fisheries schools.

Amb 23 (P)

to Mm p. 123
 Bm p. 34

Amb 23 (P)



The remarks of Public may be invited.

36456
 16/12

Dec no 20 197 161 38-1

14/12-38 S
 15/12/39
 Pub:

16.12.38
 (with copy of Madras
 Education Rules)

Under the Madras Fisheries Subordinate Service Rules as amended in G.O. No.1134, Public (Services), dated 4-7-1938 candidates for appointment to the service in categories 1 to 3 of class V (Teachers) should possess a completed trained teachers' certificate of the appropriate grade. Under the Madras Educational Rules a certificate is said to be completed only when the person holding it has passed the training examination conducted by the Commissioner for Government Examinations and the practical test conducted by the Dt. Educational Officer or his deputy after rendering at least 18 months' approved service in a recognised school within a period of three years from the 1st April of the year in which he has passed the examination. As L.B. Ambler, G. R. Gangera and N. B. Ambler who have passed the training examination but not the practical test and who do not therefore hold completed certificates were appointed as teachers after 1-12-1937 (the date on and from which Notification III in G.O. No.1134 Public (Services), dated 4-7-1938 took effect), they were discharged from service under the orders in the concluding portion of para.5 of G.O. No.1294, Development, dated 17-5-1939. For the reasons stated at p.3 c.f. the Director of Fisheries suggests that these ex-teachers may be granted necessary time to pass the practical test. The three years' period referred to at 'A' above not having yet expired in the case of the ex-teachers in question, Development say that the Director of Fisheries only means that they should be re-entered by relaxing the qualification rule so as to enable them to complete their certificates.

2. It is submitted that the statutory rules prescribe the possession of a completed trained teachers

1-2 of (P)

A at p2 of (P)

File 137-145 of
p. 145 of
K

A

13-14 of

1-2 of (P)

p24 of (F)

K at p3 of F

1/4/41-
N - p14 of

X at p2 of

22/

6

File 4(1) 20 p 157
Back 41 20 p 157 Room
M. S. R.

certificate as a qualification necessary for first appointment as teacher in the Fisheries Schools.

It is therefore obvious that they do not contemplate; completion of certificates after appointment in the Fisheries Department. Persons who have passed the training examination should therefore get employed in schools other than those belonging to the Fisheries Department, pass the practical test after rendering a satisfactory service of not less than 18 months and then seek employment in the Fisheries Schools.

In view, however, of the following main reasons Development propose to relax the qualification rule (i.e., rule 4(b) read with Annexure I of the Madras Fisheries Subordinate Service Rules) in favour of

the three ex-teachers referred to in para.1 above *and re-employ them in the department.*

(1) that the ex-teachers having undergone training in the ordinary training school at Mangalore, *Fisheries Subordinate Service* as ~~subordinate teachers~~, i.e., at Government cost, the money spent by Government on their training would be a waste unless their services are utilised in the Department; and

(2) that in the ordinary course they will have time till 1-4-1941 to complete their certificates and that it would be difficult for them to complete the certificates unless they are employed as teachers in the Fisheries schools.

Submitted for orders whether in the above circumstances ~~the~~ Development's proposal to re-employ the three women ex-teachers by relaxation of the rules may be agreed to in this Department. If so it may perhaps be laid down *(in the order relaxing the rules)* that unless they complete their certificates within the period of their probation, their probation will be terminated.

File 4(1) 20 p 157
Back 41 20 p 157

File 4(1) 20 p 157

M

File 4(1) 20 p 157

May be agreed to
D. S. R.
9.1.40

Not necessary, I think.
May may have the usual time - see
1-4-41
D. S. R.
9.1

7

The proposed relaxation will not affect other members of the Madras Fisheries Subordinate Service adversely and hence the special responsibility of H.E. is not attracted under section 52(1)(c) of the Government of India Act, 1935. H.E. need not see the case. A-1 should however see.

p. 36 of Book No 1
in CSO Form!
M/

Subject to orders the file may be returned to the Development Department.

3/12/40
9.1.40

The Director's recommendation seems reasonable & may be agreed to

9.1.40
CJ


For Encl no 36458-7
9.1.40

(with Madras SR rules 1937)

DL


Dev. Dept.

Lo A.S.



Attention is solicited to the previous note at H-4 under. The Pub (En) Dept. have agreed to the relaxation of rules for the re-entertainment of the three women teachers viz L.B. Ambler, S.R. Yangara and N.B. Ambler in fisheries schools. A draft order is submitted for approval.

03976



The case may be circulated to A-2 and A-1

Patel may see the draft case
13240

no no 20197 (1) 88-3
13-2-40
L. Madras Ed. Dept
17/1/40
S.A.
19.1.40

20140
23

DL

24/

8/

Public (Serious) Dept.

[Previous note of this dept. is at pages 5-7. ante.]

The draft Order may be accepted in this development and the file returned to Development.

B
15/2.

From p 5 ante

B
16/2/40

ASH
16/2

CS


Dev-

17 2 40

Dev

Pub (Ser) no 3976-1

20.2.40



Dev. Dept.

To A.S.

D. 5140 G

21-2-40.

The draft order placed below explains the proposal for the relaxation of the Statutory Rules in the case of three women probationary trained teachers so as to enable them to be appointed as teachers in ^{and to} ~~the~~ ^{complete their teachers' certificates} ~~the~~ draft has been accepted by the Pub (Ser.) Dept. The case may now be circulated to A-2 and A-1.

vide note above

cf m m p. 7 above

B
21/2 21.2.40.

RR
22.2.40

CMH
27/2/40

c. 27.2.40. (with above)
R. 28.2.40.

A2 16/2

A1

29.2.40

Home
CMH
29/2/40

15/1/3

DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

C.F.17.

	Date.	Initials.	Development Dept.
When received for fair copying ..			SUBJECT:— <u>Fisheries.</u> Establishment - Discharged teachers - Miss L.B. Ambler, G.R. Gangara and N.B. Ambler - Re - entertainment - orders passed.
When copied ..			
When examined..	11/3/39	Wear	
When signed ..		1/3	
When despatched.		(F)	

READ AGAIN G.O.No. 1294 (Ms) /dated 17 - 5 - 19 39.

READ ALSO: VI/39 39-2
 No.20197/ From the D.F., No.1713-2/, dated 17-7-19 39. 1/1-3 CF
 .. O.M. to the D.F. .. 20197VI/39-1 26-7-19 39. 1/11-12 CF
 .. From the D.F. .. 1713-2/39-5 30-9-19 39. 1/13 14 CF

Order Ms. No. 482 dated 1/3 1940.
 Resolution Rout.

(P)
 9, Ambler (P)
 Ambler (P)
 Ambler (P)
 Ambler 13 CF
 8. Ambler 3 CF

Under the special Rules for the Madras Fisheries Subordinate Service as amended in G.O. No. 1134 Pub. (Ser) dated 4-7-38 which took effect from 1-12-37 no person shall be eligible for appointment to the service in Class V (teachers) if he or she does not possess a completed trained teachers certificate of the appropriate grade, preference being given to candidates who have obtained the Trained Teachers' certificates of the appropriate grade of the Government Fisheries Training Institute, Calicut, which has since been closed. Miss N.B. Ambler, Miss G.R. Gangara and Miss L.B. Ambler were selected in 1936 with the special purpose of being trained in the Fisheries Training Institute after undergoing

16

--2--

~~training~~ two years' training in the
 ordinary Training School at Mangalore.
 They ~~had~~ passed ordinary Training
~~School~~ ^{- Learning certificate} Examination held in
 March 1938, but as the Fisheries Training
 Institute was ~~then~~ ^{could not} closed they ~~were~~
~~not~~ ^{be} given further training. As
 they possess only ordinary ^{trained} teachers'
 certificate, ^{but not a completed trained teachers certificate} they are not eligible
 for appointment as teachers in
 Fisheries Schools. The D.F. reports
 that these teachers having undergone
 training in the ordinary training
 school the money spent by Government
 on their training would be a waste
 unless their services are utilised
 in the Department, that these teachers
 were originally selected for training
 with a view to final training in the
 Fisheries Training Institute, Calicut
 with hopes of permanent employment in
 the Department, and ^{recommending} ~~that it would be~~
~~difficult for them to complete the~~
~~certificates as required under the~~
~~Madras Educational Rules unless they~~
^{that they may be} ~~are~~ employed as teachers ⁱⁿ ~~in the~~
 Fisheries Schools. In view of
 these circumstances, His Excellency
 the Governor is hereby pleased to
 direct under Sec. 241 (5) of the
 Government of India Act, ¹⁹³⁵ that sub-
 rule (b) of rule (4) ~~of~~ read with
 Annexure I of the special rules for
 the Madras Fisheries Subordinate
 Service be relaxed in the case of
 Miss N.B. Ambler, ^{Miss} G. R. Gangara and
 ^

M on p. 14 CF

4 on p. 3 CF

C on p. 3 CF

as on p. 3 CF

147 of Book No. 1 in T. S. Room

p 2 3 CF
< portion A on p 2 3 (P)

Miss L.B. Ambler so as to enable them to
be appointed as teachers in fisheries
schools.

These three teachers should
however complete their certificates
within ^{the} three years' period mentioned
in ~~the~~ rule 142 of the Madras Educa-
tional Rules and, if any extension [^]
~~will be~~ required, necessary action
should be taken with reference to
rule 145 of the same rules.

of Pub. Dept. Am. Secy. to
original note
on p. 6 N.F.

h: 32 3
the rules put up

h 33 3 H
Sales put up

To the D.F. (with H/S. 304:
returned)

Copy to the A.G. ✓

Lump sum
K.H.
Nov
2/3/100

P. D.
19/1/60-19.1.60.

RL
20/1/60

CAH
12/1/60